

Southeast--All IRAADs

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

2474 - MCLB Albany (MATCOM)(USMC) - Main

18	Issue	JOB Stop CLIN 29	The Maintenance Center's want the capability to input work related information on the workstation without having each individual to login & out everytime access is required.	If each person has to login and out everytime they need access, it will greatly reduce work time. Time will be wasted and productivity lost which drastically affects revenue. Workers are constantly entering data as they work.	Activity pursuing to create CLIN 29	5 - Project (Site)	D	10/29/2004	Sethumadha va, Sethu R	Mosig, Joanne	Joint
----	-------	------------------	---	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------	---	------------	------------------------	---------------	-------

Comments: 10/6/04: Status remains the same. See statement of 9/14/04.

9/30/04: Status remains the same. See statement of 9/14/04.

9/22/04: Status remains the same. See statement of 9/14/04.

9/14/04: If the domain controllers are required to support critical applications after the site has fully cut over to NMCI and there are no alternatives to keeping up this support, then we will continue to support them under CLINs being processed now. If there are alternatives to maintaining these unique legacy configurations after cutover, certainly the intention of the NMCI contract is to migrate to those alternatives as quickly as possible. The DoN understands that the more standardized the environment, the more reliable and secure it becomes.

So while I think I understand the issue here, the concern needs to be fleshed out in detail and as reasonable solution reached with the customer. As your information implies a bigger issue, I have included Mike Koch, CE for USMC and Tony Bianco, who leads our Legacy Services organization to ensure appropriate awareness. (James McCann)

9/7/04: Status remains the same. See statement of 8/31/04. (Stephanie Steuer)

8/31/04: The Government presented several questions to EDS for clarification and they are still reviewing the questions. Government is to submit an SRM and discussion is taking place on the procedures on what is needed to submit the SRM Requirements may now be for a web base instead of client base. (Pat Cunningham)

8/30/04: Responses to government questions were provided on 8/19/04 (send to Kevin Morey and Harry McDole). Additional note provided to Kevin and Harry that if the government would like to proceed beyond a ROM, an RFP should be submitted for this work. Mark White's contact info was provided if there were further questions. (Rich Meehan)

8/25/04: The Government presented several questions to EDS for clarification. At present the answers have been returned to the Government and we are awaiting a response. (Pat Cunningham)

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

7/23/04: If each person has to login and out everytime they need access, it will reduce work time. Workers are constantly entering data as they work.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
23	Issue	Legacy Domain Controllers	EDS has AOR'ed the existing domain controllers for the MATCOM domain. The MATCOM domain presence is critical to the future support of legacy application servers. EDS site personnel believe that this domain will be stood down when cutover is complete and that EDS will be administering all legacy application servers. USMC site personnel believe that no such decision has been made, that many of the systems in question are UNIX based and that MCEITS supports this position by the very fact of its existence.	EDS turns the domain controllers back over to the Marine Corps - The Marine Corps uses an existing application server to become a new domain controller (to be made the PDC when EDS stands down the two machines that they have AORed.	It is the local USMC belief that these servers will remain under the administrative control of the Marine Corps. Please confirm.	5 - Project (Site)	D	10/11/2004	Smith, James L	Steuer, Stephanie	Joint

Comments: 10/7/04: The 403's have been updated and have been sent to the PCL for validation (pending release info) last week. Once validated by the PCL and logged into the DSL they can be used by the NOC to setup S&T seats, by October end. The USMC has not yet established acceptable use and management guidance for its development community on the S&T seats, pending which we can't do full scale deployments. (Sher Singh)

10/6/04: IRAAD being assigned to STM for requirement determination. If additional support is needed please document actions performed to address and escalate. (Kent Horne)

9/30/04: No update to report at this time. Status remains the same. See statement of 9/8/04.

9/22/04: No update to report at this time. Status remains the same. See statement of 9/8/04.

9/15/04: No update to report at this time. Status remains the same. See statement of 9/8/04.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

(GOV'T INPUT) 8/27/04: After cutover, what will be the disposition of the domain controllers supporting the MATCOM domain? Alternatives include - EDS turns the domain controllers back over to the Marine Corps - The Marine Corps uses an existing application server to become a new domain controller (to be made the PDC when EDS stands down the two machines that they have AOR'ed. Will the legacy application servers be connected to a legacy port indefinitely? If so, who is responsible for the legacy infrastructure that supports it? If the legacy application servers will be connected to an NMCI port, wouldn't they remain configured for the domain in which they currently serve? And wouldn't the administration of that domain - along with the legacy applications be the responsibility of the Marine Corps? (Stephanie Steuer)