

# Marforpac\_all levels

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

**4114 - cMCB Camp Butler (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

1	Action Item	Privilege User Investigative Levels	TABLE E3.T1 of DODI 8500.2 outlines investigative level requirements for privilege users by user classification. All EDS contractors with IA privilege access are required to have a SSBI.	Potentially affects the other Okinawa sites.		3 - Division	D	10/15/2004	Nagurny, Walt	Thoreson, Lauren	Joint
---	-------------	-------------------------------------	--	--	--	--------------	---	------------	---------------	------------------	-------

Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status.

9/29/04: No change in status.

9/23/04: EDS continues to talk with Navy and USMC DAA and NMCI oversight officials regarding relief and/or an agreement on a DON-wide standard IRT the USMC requiring and SSBI investigation for all NMCI "Privileged Users".

The EDS NMCI security team perseveres on this "SSBI issue". In a flood of e-mail the Marine Corps DAA office is unwavering in the opinion that anyone with any amount of Privileged User status requires an SSBI. The USMC DAA considers that any Privileged User has "IA Administrative Privileges" and needs an SSBI.

There are Marines who seem to see it our way (i.e.; not all Privileged Users have IA Administrative Privileges. It boils down to what standard applies. The key is DoD Instruction Implementer 8500.2 pages 45 and 46.

Table E3.T1 (Part-1) details work "with no IA Administrative Privileges" that requires only a NACLIC investigation.

Table E3.T1 (Part-2) details work "with IA Administrative Privileges" that requires an SSBI investigation.

Link to 8500.2 (the Implementer of the DoD IA Directive): <<http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/html/85002.htm>>

Link to the DoD IA Directive 8500.1: <<http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/html/85701.htm>>

EDS continues to maintain that not all NMCI Privileged Users (IAW what the DoD directive says) and that the Navy NMCI is are required to have an SSBI. (John McKnight).

9/20/04: EDS presented issue to Raman Waters, DoN NMCI, Daa for resolution. (John McKnight)

9/15/04: No change in status.

9/8/04: No change in status.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

8/17/04: Of 12 Server Farm Personnel, only 1 has an SSBI. Network Administrators are considered to have IA privildge. We are rapidly approaching SIPRNET AOR. This potentially effects other sites. (Mike Thoreson)

**4126 - cMCB Camp Foster (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

1	Action Item	CMS Support	Camp Foster DAC/S G6 desires SITE to establish multiple LE accounts with several parent EKMS accounts with EKMS managers on Okinawa. Site only requires one LE account identified by message 110148Z DEC 03 PEO C4I and SPACE San Diego to support requirement.			4 - Program	C	10/15/2004	Warlick, Mike	McKnight, John	ISF
---	-------------	-------------	--	--	--	-------------	---	------------	---------------	----------------	-----

Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status.

9/29/04: No change in status.

9/23/04: The following email was sent Kim Oxendine on 9/22/04

-----Original Message-----

From: Mcknight, John

Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2004 11:22 AM

To: 'kimberly\_a\_oxendine@raytheon.com'

Cc: 'GARNERRT@HQMC.USMC.MIL'; Clemente, Bill; Bishop, Larry A; Scholes LtCol Patrick H (E-mail)

Subject: EKMS accounts in Okinawa and Iwakuni

Kimberly,

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

9/20/04: Kim Oxendine, Raytheon, discussed issue with Robin Garner, HQMC, C4I. Robin will send an email to all EKMS accounts to reiterate the information in message DTG R 141128Z MAY 04 MARINE CORPS NMCI CMS SUPPORT and will reference all accounts that are to provide NMCI like services for COMSEC support. The account that has been designated as supporting NMCI COMSEC for Okinawa is CAMP FOSTER-OKINAWA / 269006. No others accounts are required for support (John McKnight).

9/15/04: No change in status.

9/8/04: No change in status.

9/1/04: No change in status.

8/24/04: New PC assigned, no status input from site.

8/10/04: IAW reference 110148Z Dec 03 designated Mr. Doyle Hibler as the LE on parent EKMS account 269006, Camp Foster. Message 141128Z May 04 provides MC EKMS sites to provide CMS/EKMS support to NMCI LE. MCB Camp Foster's EKMS account 269006 has been identified to provide support. IAW CMS 21B Article 165 modified to expressly prohibits LEs from receiving COMSEC material support from more than one parent EKMS account or subaccount. Site would be in violation of CMS 21B Article 165 if site receives COMSEC material from more than one parent EKMS account or subaccount. (John McKnight)

**2394 - MCAGTFTC Twentynine Palms (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

26	Issue	MAG-13 (3MAW) OCM Data	MAG-13 (3MAW) is not willing to provide the site with OCM data for the NMCI seats and the MAGTA seats	Delinquent OCM Data makes site unable to make scheduled rollout, and could stop the project.		3 - Division	B	10/15/2004	Steffensen, Bob	Penaflo, Deb	Joint
----	-------	------------------------	---	--	--	--------------	---	------------	-----------------	--------------	-------

Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
27	Issue	Insufficien OCM Data Provided to Site	Lack of sufficient OCM Data provided to site has caused the schedule to slip from a cutover start date of 8/16/04, to 9/6/04.	Lack of OCM Data has pushed the schedule cutover from 8/16/04 to 9/6/04.		3 - Division	D	10/15/2004	Read, Tom	Penafloor, Deb	Joint
	Comments:		10/6/04: No change in status.								
			9/29/04: No change in status.								
			9/22/04: Site has recieved enough OCM Data to commence rolling seats. Not sure if the OCM Data pace will continue to exceed the seat rollout.								
			9/15/04: No change in status.								
			9/8/04: No change in status.								
			9/1/04: No change in status.								
			8/25/04: Gov has provided 318 seats as of 8/24/04, still awaiting addition data to be received at the end of this week/beginning of next.(Tom Kramer).								
			8/16/04: Lack of sufficient OCM Data provided to site has caused the schedule to slip from a cutover start date of 8/16/04, to 9/6/04. An SCR was submitted 8/7/04. (Tom Kramer).								

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
28	Issue	CAC Reader Deployment	Per a Naval Message published by the MCNOSC, the entire Marine Corps must be capable of Cryptographic Log-on (CLO) and must have the abilities to digitally sign and encrypt emails by 01 October 2004. MAGTF 29-Palms California does not have the manpower to deploy NMCI seats, become PKI compliant and reconnect the 7th Marine regiment (returning from Iraq in Sept) to the Base infrastructure by 1 October. Guidance is requested as to what needs to take priority or additional manpower needs to be provided.	Without adequate manpower, the Marine Corps (TECOM) will not be capable of CLO Log-on, and will not have the ability to digitally sign and encrypt emails by due date of 10/1/04.		3 - Division	C	10/15/2004	Burnett, Ella	Penaflo, Deb	ISF

Comments: 10/7/04: From 10/1/04:

There has been a flurry of activity of late regarding drivers for external CAC readers for ESI seats (4AB). For those who like lots of detail, read the whole thread below. For the rest, a summary follows. Please push this info to your SMs and STMs so they are aware of the process if they are faced with this situation. Please have them also educate the STOICs/CTRs.

The gov't may have CLIN 4AB ESI seats that have external CAC readers. The drivers for these external readers need to be pushed to the seat. In order to make this happen smoothly, the following four steps need to take place.

1) STOIC adds the driver (USMC Smart Card USB Driver RFS# 90681) to the site IG (implementation group) (sending a note to roy.smith@eds.com when this is complete will ensure the next step happens quickly)

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

9/8/04: No change in status.

9/1/04: No change in status.

8/25/04: No change in status - Assigned to Ella Burnett for action.

8/17/04: SM uncertain and needs guidance on who, (G9-Government or EDS) is supposed to buy the CAC card readers. The site assumes that the 4AB's that are GFE will be bought by government, need to know about the other 2,573 seats out here...who buys? (Deb Penaflor- SM).

8/6/04: Per a Naval Message published by the MCNOSC dated 17 May 2004, the entire Marine Corps must be capable of Cryptographic Log-on (CLO) and must have the abilities to digitally sign and encrypt emails by 01 October 2004. This will require the use of Common Access Card (CAC) based Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) certificates. This requires all Marine Corps computer seats to have CAC readers and middleware in place by 1 October 2004. MAGTF 29-Palms California does not have the manpower to meet this requirement.

Issue 1 - PKI Compliance - MAGTF 29 requires 2,622 CAC readers to become compliant with the message from the MCNOSC. They currently have 300 on-hand. Once the MCNOSC procures these readers for MAGTF 29, the readers and middleware must be deployed to the users. The manpower requirement to accomplish this by the allotted deadline is substantial.

Issue 2 - NMCI Roll-out is scheduled to begin 6Sept 04 (date based upon SCR approval). Estimated rate of roll-out is 100 seats per week. At this rate, EDS will have 700 seats rolled by the 01 October PKI compliance deadline. That would leave 1,922 seats on MAGTF 29 without CAC readers and middleware by the 01 October deadline.

Issue 3 - The return of the 7th Marine Regiment from deployment to Iraq is scheduled to begin in late-August or early- September. All of the computers that are returning from Iraq must be "sanitized" and returned to a pre-deployed state before they are allowed to be reconnected to the NMCI network. 7th Marines is estimated to be returning with 728 computers that they will want to reconnect to the network as soon as possible. This will require a large manpower effort from MAGTF 29. All of the Marines returning from Iraq will also have to update their PKI certs on their CACs. If they have forgotten their Personal Identification Numbers (PINs) they will have to reset their PINs to be able to use CLO and digitally sign and encrypt emails. This will also place a large manpower demand on the MAGTF 29 personnel. MAGTF 29 requires guidance as to which of these issues should take priority with their limited manpower. (Training and Education Command, G-6 - 1st Lt Mulé DSN 278-5787 Comm 703-784-5787 MAGTF 29 Base G-6 - D.W. McLawhorn - Director, Communications & Data / G-6 Comm 760.830.7109 DSN 230.7109)

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

MCCS17 Marine Corps Community Services

25	Risk	FMF Unit Incomplete Equipment	Potential Issues with Fleet Marine Force (FMF) unit equipment and cutover scheduling	Will be unable to roll FMF seats.	Site unsure of how to mitigate this problem.	4 - Program	D	10/15/2004	Steffensen, Bob	Penaflo, Deb	Joint
<p>Comments:</p> <p>10/6/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/29/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/22/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/15/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/8/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/1/04: No change in status.</p> <p>8/25/04: No change in status.</p> <p>8/16/04: No change in status.</p> <p>8/11/04: No change in status.</p> <p>8/3/04: 3rd Battalion/4th Regiment (3/4) returned to 29 Palms with just their deployables, to be followed with their peripheral equipment at a later date. Given this scenario, the site cannot cut the machines because they cannot verify the complete ESI suite configuration. (Tom Kramer)</p> <p>2/7, 3/7, and 3/11 will be returning to fall in on their existing non-deployable gear stored here at 29 Palms, but could potentially return with broken/missing peripherals (external CD-Roms, external Floppies, etc). Until this equipment is replaced, the site does not believe they can verify/validate the configuration, and therefore cannot proceed with the cutover. The site is unsure of how to mitigate and move forward on this issue. (Tom Kramer).</p>											

2515 - MCAS Iwakuni (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
6	Issue	Iwakuni GFF	The Government and EDS has spent money to build out a Regional Med Server Farm. Iwakuni is currently getting a Micro Server Farm. What is the way ahead to recoup space for the government?	It has been recommended to Iwakuni that they relocate the DISA Tech Controllers as a result of a survey done by Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA). Due to the space limitations on Iwakuni there is no current place for them to move and new constructio		2 - Steering Comm	C	10/15/2004	Hollis, Roy	Voigts, CAPT Scott A	Joint

Comments: 10/8/04: Per Jim Brown and CY04 status, the ISO container is scheduled to arrive approximately 10/25 to support the server farm. (RF)

10/6/04: No change in status.

9/29/04: No change in status.

9/22/04: No change in status.

9/15/04: No change in status.

9/7/04: My recommendations have been as follows (Roy Hollis):

The Marine Corps/Director NMCI Chain-of-Command pressure EDS to put a Regional Server Farm (RSF) in Iwakuni as the Command there is concerned about mission derogation if only a Micro Server Farm (MSF) is provided.

If the final agreement is that EDS will put a MSF at Iwakuni, they must return the excess space in the PEB that the Marine Corps specifically built for them to support a RSF. My recommendation has been to draft a letter for Ms Streufert's signature directing them to return the 3,000 + square Feet of space to the Government and to submit an equitable adjustment claim for the money invested in the total space that they (EDS) feel that they should be reimbursed for.

I have not heard back from Mr. Riffe or anyone else regarding my recommendations.

9/03/04: One of two paths should be taken:

1.If EDS stays with the Micro Server Farm plan, then, Yes, they should return the remaining space to the Gov't. Space is at a premium in the Far East. We cannot afford to just give it away.

2.If EDS returns to a Medium Server Farm Plan, the amount of space provided is accurate. After meeting with Tom Read and Bill Clemente last week, it is apparent that EDS does not have visibility to the true footprint that they will be required to support in the Far East. This is attributable to the lack of visibility to UDP units. In fact, I am surprised that EDS

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

get to our information that will reside in Okinawa. SLA's should ensure that we are getting the level of service we should expect with regard to the contract.

2. The position that MCAS Iwakuni holds, is that putting all of our servers in Okinawa will result in all of our eggs being in one location. SLA's are only good if they are missed as a result of the contractors mistake. If it is an act of God, or missiles start coming inbound the SLA's are out of EDS's control and therefore not required to be meet. By having everything in Okinawa if the communications between there and here are cut Fuji and

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

the shipping of the back up generator, the back up generator and all things

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

7/6/04: The Government built a building that houses the Server Farm (3046 sf), Warehouse (2109 sf) and Admin Space (1446 sf) requirements for EDS. This building was to host a reg med server farm and by what is outlined by the GFF for space requirements meet their needs. EDS has since come back and said that they are going to give Iwakuni a Micro Server Farm which requires significantly less space. The Government would like the space not required for a Micro Server turned back over for possible housing of other IT related units.

**2391 - MCAS Miramar (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

29	Issue	OIF II Rotation (GOVT IRAAD)	Need the process of moving Marines and computers	If this issue is not resolved will not meet the scheduled cut-over	The USMC and NMCI need to come to a conclusion as to how the MAGTA seats will be treated and how the USMC will compensate for the loss of seats.	3 - Division	D	08/09/2004	Fenner, Douglas	Nielsen, David	Joint
----	-------	------------------------------	--	--	--	--------------	---	------------	-----------------	----------------	-------

Comments: 10/7/04: No change in status. Have not received update from Govt Action Person.

9/30/04: No change in status. Have not received update from Govt Action Person.

9/23/04: No Status Change

9/16/04: No status change

9/9/04: No status change

9/2/04: No status change

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

8/12/04: No status change-

30	Issue	Bad timing for NET Automation	Data for OCM being submitted during and after Automation of NET.	Can cause seats not to roll on schedule if not resolved in time.		3 - Division	D	10/18/2004	Johnson, Karriem-Abdul	Dorr, Joel	Joint
----	-------	-------------------------------	--	--	--	--------------	---	------------	------------------------	------------	-------

Comments: 10/7/04: No direction has been given to the sites on how this will impact seat cutover. The site will continue to monitor the situation. (J. Dorr)

9/30/04: The site is monitoring the situation.

9/23/04: No Status Change

9/16/04: No status change

9/9/04: No status change

9/2/04: No status change

8/26/04: No status change

8/19/04: Reassigned to LT Johnson. (Joel Dorr)

8/14/04: Government's responsibility to maintain NET data. Data provided through Herndon Spreadsheet, populated from Government data, must be consistent with NET data. Assign to Government or close. (M. Warlick)

8/12/04: No status change

8/6/04: Since our team will be submitting data for the OCM run and deploying seats before and after NET becomes automated, whatever is in NET will override data submitted for the OCM run. If the CTR/ACTR are not provided a copy of what we have submitted for OCM run, their data in NET will be inconsistent with what we have submitted and will cause confusion and mad chaos for the CTR/ACTR. NET Automation is coming at a really bad time. Based on the track record of the USMC claimants thus far, there is no confidence they will be able to correct the errors before their NET data populates into the OCM process.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
31	Issue	OCM DATA arriving too late	Late submission of OCM Data will cause delay in Seat Deployment	IF this data is not received immediately they will miss their 6 Sept roll date.	Provide good OCM Data	3 - Division	D	08/18/2004	Fenner, Douglas	Dorr, Joel	Joint
<p>Comments: 10/7/04: All OCM data has not been submitted to Herndon due to late data submissions and slow validation efforts of the Cmds. Remainder of OCM data for task orders being delivered will be subject to new processing via NET Automation. Site is unsure of the impact of NET Automation on partially undelivered task orders and the lag time between the last manual OCM submission and the Automation. It is possible that seat rollout will be delayed during the implementation and projected seat cutover numbers may not be met. (J. Dorr)</p> <p>9/30/04: Data was received last week. The new cutover date is Oct. 18.</p> <p>9/23/04: No Status Change</p> <p>9/16/04: Data is still coming in late from 3MAW. We will be forced to stop rollout for two weeks starting Oct. 4. (J. Darr)</p> <p>9/9/04: No status change</p> <p>9/2/04: No status change</p> <p>8/26/04: No status change</p> <p>8/19/04: STOIC has agreed to submit data to EDS before correcting in NET. (Joel Dorr)</p> <p>8/11/04: Station and 3MAW has missed their milestone for submission of good OCM data due 38 business days before cutover.</p>											

**2383 - MCAS Yuma (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

11	Issue	Insufficient Electrical Power to MAWTS 1	The MAWTS 1 building cannot be transitioned to NMCI due to insufficient electrical power.	The MAWTS 1 Seat Rollout has been moved to 7/16/04, the final Segment Roll-out.	Move Segment Rollout to 7/16/04, the last segment to allow time to provide sufficient power to the building.	2 - Steering Comm	D	10/15/2004	Fenner, Douglas	Debreccourt, Greg	Joint
<p>Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/29/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/22/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/15/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/8/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/1/04: No change in status.</p>											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

switchable seats in the local warehouse that are awaiting rollout (This total does not account for SIPR seats). Completion is not scheduled until January 2005 at the very soonest. Possible help???

Lastly, the A/E contractor will be looking/assessing the needs for the building tomorrow. We will submit the results as they come in. (Lt. Cross)

8/26/04: MAWTS moved the electrical upgrade of this building to July 2004 because it would interfere with their operations.

The Public Works Department claims that it must survey the building for scope of wiring needed and the specifications on a transformer to be provided. What I am hearing is that Public Works will do this scoping in September when MAWTS allows them access to the building.

I know from my experience with GFF that it takes a minimum of four months to get a transformer delivered. Based on information so far, if the transformer can be ordered in October, one could expect delivery in January 05. I have placed several calls to CDR Terrell, Public Works Officer, MCAS Yuma, AZ to get more specific information, but he has not returned my calls yet.

HQMC I&L has assisted NMCI sites in the past regarding power upgrades and transformer funding. However, that service was provided specifically for GFF buildings, those offered to and accepted by EDS to establish server farms. MAWTS 1 does not fall into that category. I contacted I&L this afternoon to verify that I am correct. They stated, as I have over the past couple of days, that this issue must be handled locally and funded locally. (Roy Hollis)

8/25/04: LT Col Hartenstine will take this IRAAD for action as of 8/23/04. (Terry Kerestes)

8/24/04: MAWTS-1 HQ, Bldg 406, has insufficient for present operations, much less the requirements for power to migrate to NMCI. Two examples:  
 - LTCol George (OpsO) has only half of the lights working in his office. He does not want to fix the lights in fear of bringing down the power in the building.  
 - Cannot plug a cell phone recharger into an electrical outlet without fear of bringing down the power. Is this not a potential fire-safety issue?

In a previous note to Douglas Fenner...cc: me the previous Yuma STOIC, Capt Buckley made the following statements:

“Just FYI, the Base CO is aware of this problem. So is facilities, the MAWTS-1 CO.

Due to operational commitments, there is not a chance to repair the issue anytime soon. We are working on a local level to find a solution.”

Presently, the Facilities Officer Cmdr Terrell states that they might have the transformer upgrade in place by Jan 05. (Lt Col Hartenstein).

<b>IRAAD #</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Impact Statement</b>	<b>Mitigation Plan</b>	<b>Level</b>	<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Assigned To</b>	<b>Originator</b>	<b>ISF/ Joint</b>
----------------	-------------	--------------	--------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

2/26/04: The Site Managers have met with the OPSO & S6 concerning this issue, and they are aware that NMCI cannot be implemented at the building with the current electrical configuration. Because Military exercises WTI & Desert TALOM take place outside of the MAWTS1 HQ building, the customer believes that this issue will not impinge on those operations, when in fact, it will. The Segment Cutover associated with UIC: MTH007 has been moved to begin 7/16/04 to provide extra time to correct this issue.

17	Issue	Asbestos Found in Buildings	Asbestos discovered in many of the buildings on site. Abatement completion taking more time than the site has to make it's cutover date of 7/12/04.	If the asbestos abatement is not completed in a timely manner, the cutover date of 7/12/04 will be pushed.	Expedite asbestos abatement.	3 - Division	B	10/15/2004	Fenner, Douglas	Kerestes, Terry	Joint
<p>Comments:</p> <p>10/6/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/29/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/22/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/15/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/8/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/1/04: No change in status.</p> <p>8/25/04: The asbestos problem is an on going problem, as the site work from building to building asbestos is periodically discovered. This IRAAD needs to be monitored. (Terry Kerestes)</p> <p>8/17/04: No change in status.</p> <p>8/10/04: No change in status.</p>											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

6/23/04: During ISP implementation on site, GD Industrial Partners discovered asbestos in many of the buildings. A representative from the APT Group came out to do a pre-survey two weeks ago, but has not returned to start abatement. The SM has alerted the STOIC regarding this matter. Once asbestos is discovered in a building, the work must stop in that building until abatement is completed. Multiple occurrences of this will cause a major issue in the site being able to make its present cutover date of 7/12/04.

27	Risk	Active BAN/LAN Gear	The site has not received Active BAN/LAN Gear. Without the Active BAN/LAN Gear the site will not be able to proceed with seat rollout.	Without the Active BAN/LAN Gear, the site not be able to continue rolling seats.		3 - Division	D	10/15/2004	Steffensen, Bob	Kerestes, Terry	Joint
Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status. 9/29/04: No change in status. 9/22/04: No change in status. 9/15/04: No change in status. 9/13/04: The site anticipates receiving the Gear sometime in mid to late October. If that is the case, the Schedule will be pushed to mid to late October. (Greg Debre-court)											

23	Decision	MAG-HQ Seats Pulled Out of Q3 & Q4	MAG-13 is scheduled to roll 372 seats in Q3, and 0 seats in Q4. There are 467 MAGTA seats that are not scheduled to roll in Q3 or Q4.	The 467 MAGTA seat not rolling in Q3 or Q4 reduces the scheduled segment rollouts in the Tactical Plan.		3 - Division	B	10/22/2004	Read, Tom	Kerestes, Terry	ISF
Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status. 9/29/04: No change in status. 9/22/04: No change in status.											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

9/1/04: No change in status.

8/24/04: No I am not satisfied with this IRAAD being closed. The current solution is only a interim solution until a final solution can be engineered. Mr. Buddy Rodgers indicated that he had an action to request a Clin 29 solution for this problem be developed and priced by EDS. As far as I know this is still pending. Until that time this IRAAD should remain open with updates from that CLIN 29 request attached until resolution is reached. (Kevin Morey, RCOR LOGCOM/Southeast).

8/17/04: No change in status.

8/10/04: No change in status.

8/5/04: This IRAAD is being addressed with the USMC Exec Office and recorded under Enterprise Project 2070. I would keep your IRAAD open for now (B-monitor) and just reference the 2070-116 IRAAD - an Official message should be coming out within the next week. (Mandy Ness).

8/3/04: MAG-13 is scheduled to roll 372 seats in Q3, and 0 seats in Q4. There are 467 MAGTA seats that are not scheduled to roll in Q3 or Q4. (Greg Debrecount per Tom Read)

26	Action Item	Confirmation of VMFT-401's Position	VMFT-401's position is to go MAG-TA. The Site needs confirmation that this is the case. If not, and VMFT-401 is supposed to go NMCI, then when are we going to receive the OCM data since they are past the 30-day window?	If VMFT-401 is going NMCI, then receipt of OCM Data is pass the 30 day window, which will impact cutover for the seats.		4 - Program	C	10/15/2004	Fenner, Douglas	Kerestes, Terry	Joint
Comments:		<p>10/6/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/29/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/22/04: No change in status.</p> <p>9/15/04: No change in status.</p>									

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

8/16/04: VMFT-401's position is to go MAG-TA. The Site needs confirmation that this is the case. If not, and VMFT-401 is supposed to go NMCI, then when are we going to receive the OCM data since they are past the 30-day window? This IRAAD should be assigned to Doug Fenner. (Terry Kerestes).

**2498 - MCB Camp Foster (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

7	Issue	Workstation refresh	Refresh required for workstations to mitigate risk of system reliability beyond the expired warranty support date. These workstations are supporting operations critical to unit mission.			3 - Division	C	10/15/2004	Mace, LT COL Brian	Medina, Merv	ISF
---	-------	---------------------	---	--	--	--------------	---	------------	--------------------	--------------	-----

Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status.

9/30/04: IRAAD has been reassigned to LtCol Mace per direction of USMC PM. (Stacy Taxin)

9/20/04: No change in status.

9/15/04: No change in status.

9/8/04: No change in status.

9/1/04: No change in status.

8/24/04: New PC assigned, no status input from site.

7/15/04 No status received from site.

7/7/04 No status received from site.

6/24/04 No status received from site.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

3d FSSG 490 Insufficient ESI quantities fielded to unit

9	Action Item	1MAW MAG-TA Administrative Rights	1MAW MAG-TA ordered 415 CLIN 0036AQ with CLIN 0023_2007AA and CLIN 004103. This order is for hardware refresh and Gold Disk only. MAG-TA Administrators do all Network and Local Administration. EDS/NMCI ISF took away the ability of MAG-TA Administrators to do required Network Administration by mid-April 2004 and have refused to return the Administration Rights.	The USMC has attempted in best faith to Administer the MAG-TA resources but EDS/NMCI ISF actions and inactions are delaying or preventing Mission Accomplishment.		4 - Program	D	10/15/2004	Thoreson, Lauren	Beaudin, Ralph	
---	-------------	-----------------------------------	--	---	--	-------------	---	------------	------------------	----------------	--

Comments: 10/6/04: No change in status.

9/29/04: No change in status.

9/20/04: No change in status. Site searching for the appropriate person to assign this IRAAD to.

9/15/04: No change in status.

9/8/04: No change in status.

- August 29, 2003 was Okinawa's AOR; 1MAW G-6 Administrators continued to Administer the 1MAW Network, MAG-TA Administrators and 1MAW G-6 Administrators continued to

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

- May 4, 2004 IMAW requested the STOIC's assistance in resolving this issue.

- July 1, 2004 IMAW, MCB Butler, and EDS/NMCI ISF held a meeting regarding MAG-TA Administration rights. IMAW provided EDS/NMCI ISF the listing of Administrative rights needed by MAG-TA Administrators to Administer the MAG-TA resources. EDS/NMCI ISF promised to provide a response to IMAW by July 7, 2004.

- July 7, 2004 at 1526 IMAW requested a response from EDS/NMCI ISF. No response was received from EDS/NMCI ISF.

- July 12, 2004 at 1516 IMAW again requested a response from EDS/NMCI ISF. No response from EDS/NMCI ISF has been received.

The Administrative rights MAG-TA Administrators require to Administer MAG-TA resources are:

- A. Create and manage IMAW MAG-TA Domain Accounts, Distribution Lists, and Exchange Mailboxes.
- B. Create and manage IMAW MAG-TA Exchange Public Folders.
- C. Create and manage IMAW MAG-TA File Server Folder Permissions. This requirement can go away if a 2-way trust is setup between the MAG-TA and IMAW NT Domains.
- D. Fully administer the Exchange component on the one MALS-36 AISD Tactical Server, IMAWEMH2, physically located in the IMAW NOC, Camp Foster Building 1, which has all the IMAW MAG-TA Exchange Mailboxes on it.
- E. Create and rejoin computers on/to the IMAW NT Domain.
- F. MAG-TA Administrators create and manage NT Groups that are added to the Local Administrator Group on the IMAW MAG-TA computers and EDS/NMCI ISF stop removing those NT Groups from the Local Administrator Group. Presently EDS/NMCI ISF is removing those NT Groups using a script.

The list of Administrator rights required, along with other documentation, was provided to EDS/NMCI ISF in hard copy at the July 1, 2004 meeting and electronically after the meetings conclusion on July 1, 2004. (Ralph Beaudin)

**2519 - MCB Camp H.M. Smith (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
25	Issue	Commanding General MFP Connectivity	CG currently has a T1 lease line to his quarters. CG desires to retain that capability when cutover to NMCI.	Need to know how to transfer responsibility of lease line to EDS and if required, what CLIN needs to be ordered to ensure T1 (or comparable BW) remains in place. Commanding General MFP will not cutover to NMCI NIPRNET until a solution is implemented	Need to know how to transfer responsibility of lease line to EDS and if required, what CLIN needs to be ordered to ensure T1 (or comparable BW) remains in place.	3 - Division	D	10/15/2004	Gagne, George P	Sparks, Daniel B	Joint
<p>Comments: 10/11/04 - Site states no change in status.</p> <p>10/4/04 - No change in status.</p> <p>9/27/04 - SM George Gagne to confirm position with Contracts. Elevated from D-3 to D-2 per RTM Shannon Myers. Originator is Maj Bornschein/Capt Sparks. IRAAD assigned to George Gagne.</p> <p>Level reduced from D-2 to D-3 per RM Mark Savage direction. These are HITS provisioned circuits, a contract between AT&amp;T and the government through DISA. We shouldn't be assuming any lease per our contracting office. The answer here is clearly no. (M. Savage)</p> <p>9/23/04: Maj Bornschein will be the originator of this IRAAD in PIV as soon as he is a resource in PIV.</p> <p>(GOVT INPUT) 9/22/04: Lease responsibility should transfer to EDS to ensure that any trouble shooting, repair and maintenance can be performed by a single party (EDS) in an expeditious manner. (Maj Bornschein)</p>											

26	Issue	CG MFP - INMARSAT connection needed	The CG requires direct access to the NMCI network over INMARSAT in order to synchronize his MS Outlook with the MS Exchange Server when the option of a RAS connection is not available.	Commanding General MFP will not cutover to NMCI NIPRNET until a solution is implemented	EDS conduct a limited Security Test and Evaluation of the existing solution; EDS provide vulnerability assessment results to the MFPac G6 for inclusion in the NIPRNET IATO accreditation package being consolidated by Raytheon C&A; or EDS provide an NMCI po	3 - Division	D	10/15/2004	Gagne, George P	Sparks, Daniel B	Joint
<p>Comments: 10/11/04 - Site still needs to submit CLIN 32, also looking into possible Broad Band solution for the CG.</p> <p>10/4/04 - Site briefed JEMPRS solution to CG and staff. Also pursuing INMARSAT CLIN 32.</p> <p>9/27/04 - EDS offered JEMPRS solution to CG, but staff disapproved asking for a STU/STE. SM George Gagne to determine an appropriate solution. Originator is Maj Bornschein/Capt Sparks. IRAAD assigned to George Gagne.</p>											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

vulnerability assessment data for inclusion in NIPRNET IATO accreditation package being consolidated by Raytheon C&A.

20	Issue	MARFORPAC Cutover Variances	Camp Smith unable to cutover seats in Baseline/Tactical Plan due to various problems identified in the comments section.	Site unable to meet Baseline/Tactical Plan seat cutover due to various problems.		4 - Program	C	10/08/2004	Sparks, Daniel B	Desjardin, Richard D	Joint
----	-------	-----------------------------	--	--	--	-------------	---	------------	------------------	----------------------	-------

Comments: 10/4/04 - EDS deployed 71 of the 104 seats scheduled for the week of September 27th.

Sept 27th

35 scheduled 22 deployed

Seat ID Reason

2702520 Government removed from schedule  
4266937 Government removed from schedule  
2703117 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4267274 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4266884 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4267274 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4267555 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4267556 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
2703098 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
2732211 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4266936 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
2702221 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4265799 Machine not available-unable to cutover

Sept 28th

23 scheduled 12 deployed

2703102 Hardware issue, WIP  
4267558 Incorrect Net order  
2702497 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4266882 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
2702437 Machine not available-unable to cutover  
4265817 Software issue, WIP

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

Seat ID	Reason
2702528	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702524	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702523	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702464	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702544	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702546	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702557	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
4203411	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
4265799	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
2702221	Machine not available-unable to cutover, rescheduled for Sept 27th
4265803	Machine DOA, hardware WIP rescheduled for this week

Sept 21th  
21 scheduled 19 deployed

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

4262981 Machine not available unable to cutover

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

Alomar, Hector      2702821      Seat was already cutover during previous cutover period, incorrectly scheduled by the government

**2389 - MCB Camp Pendleton (MARFORPAC)(USMC) - Main**

59	Issue	ADPOC Order Modification	ADPOC funding constraints has caused the Air Wing to reduce its seat order.	Site will lose \$646, 361.05 in billable invoices	The USMC and NMCI need to come to a conclusion as to how the MAGTA seats will be treated and how the USMC will compensate for the loss of seats. The USMC should place alternate orders or fund NMCI to implement these seats per the order.	2 - Steering Comm	B	12/01/2004	Read, Tom	Benton, Parker	Joint
----	-------	--------------------------	---	---	--	-------------------	---	------------	-----------	----------------	-------

Comments: 10/7/04: Site is monitoring the situation.

9/30/04: The site is monitoring the situation

9/21/04: Aviation Deployment Proof of Concept decision made. 4Q04 schedule will accomodate ADPOC decision. (Kent Horne)

9/16/04: No status change

9/9/04: No status change

9/2/04: No status change

8/26/04: No change in status

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

7/30/04: (Robert Draper) This trend, will result in a loss of ordered seats as originally ordered by the USMC, via PSQ and supported since AOR and will result in financial loss for the site.

28	Issue	OSP Detail Survey and Implementation	PNDL has not received funds to conduct Surveys and Designs for Zones 13-22.	If site does not get started now it will be out of seats to deploy by the end of January 05.		3 - Division	D	09/29/2004	Steffensen, Bob	Dawson, Michael T	Joint
----	-------	--------------------------------------	---	--	--	--------------	---	------------	-----------------	-------------------	-------

Comments: 10/7/04: On agenda for MC-West IRAAD Review. Updates will be provided after that meeting.(Stacy Taxin)

9/30/04: On agenda for MC-West IRAAD Review. Updates will be provided after that meeting.(Stacy Taxin)

9/22/04 : Funding is needed by next Wednesday for zones 7-17. Please escalate to Steering Committee for review. (J. Benton)

9/16/04: No status change

9/9/04: No change though the threat of impact grows each day that the site does not have the funds required to carry out zones 13 and above.(R. Draper)

9/2/04: No status change

8/26/04: No change in status though with the pace of deployment, the potential to over-run ready infrastructure. (Robert Draper)

8/19/04: No change in status

8/12/04: No status change-

8/5/04: No status change-

7/29/04: Down graded to level five, preparing to move forward (Robert Draper)

7/26/04: Target transfers of expense cost for design have been transferred to regional budgets. Mike Warlick and Bob Coatney to provide assistance on methodology for approval. (J. Mosig)

7/22/04: (GOVT INPUT) PEN has not received funds to complete survey and design ... they have already begun cutover. MCTOIC wants to ensure we do not have repeat of the Far East WG whereby EDS can not provide funds for ordered requirements. (Art Bornschein) (Site input)..7/22/04: This issue is very serious, if these funds are not delivered, the site may run out of seats in September, and the next zones may not be ready until December. The longer this waits, the more realistic this potential becomes.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

-----

8/7/03: Site reports no change in status-

-----

8/1/03: As identified today in a partner round table with General Dynamics, the ability for GD to publish its RFP and award contract is directly affected by the time it takes Bill Seyler, Government Public Works Planner MB Camp Pendleton, to approve the designs.

The current GD OSP Implementation schedule is as follows.

Detail Survey OSP Complete: 8/8/03

Autocad Drawings: 8/11/03 to 8/28/03

DPM / NCE Review of Autocad: 8/28/03

Bill Seyler Approval Process: 8/29/03 to 10/9/03

Needham Review and Approval of Documents: 10/3/03 to 10/9/03 (FF to Bill Seyler)

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

Without mitigation of the 30 days approval and without GD working to support the baseline date, cutover will slip to 1/9/04 for MDF Zone One.

62	Issue	Legacy Applications Pay/ Disbursing	Legacy applications related to pay/ disbursing UDMIPS are requiring post deployment configuration.	Increased time delay's in users UD/MIPS functionality. When underlying applications are installed by Base Operations and MISSO-03, nightly connect could potentially delete applications and/or configurations.	Proper user notification and complete packaging of all associated applications w/ configurations would cause less user impact and mitigate the use of Base Operations and local MISSO-03 personnel.	3 - Division	C	10/08/2004	Smith, Roy	Benton, Parker	Joint
----	-------	-------------------------------------	--	---	---	--------------	---	------------	------------	----------------	-------

Comments: 10/7/04: Site is monitoring the situation.

9/30/04: The site is monitoring the situation

9/23/04: Mr. Roy Smith is aware of problem. USMC is testing new release of UD/MIPS.

9/16/04: No status change

9/9/04: No status change

9/2/04: (1) UD/MIPS as delivered by the CDA is a very complex, very large application that will not be converted to a RADIA package at this time due to it's sheer size (about 6 GB). The next release, hopefully, will have the components split out so that RADIA packages will be feasible. EBSS is working with the CDA towards this goal. The current UD/MIPS solution was created by the USMC's AIT lab in Quantico and LADRA tested/verified by EDS. Due to the fact that there are many UD/MIPS servers involved (many bases have many different servers), there will be post configuration required for UD/MIPS wherever it is installed.

(2) Due to the sheer number of different servers, mix of "required" applications, etc., added to the complexity, current size of UD/MIPS, and licensing issues, combining these additional "Underlying Applications" with the UD/MIPS packages is not feasible. Practically everybody requires a different mix of applications, most of which will require post configuration of their own (due to there being different servers that they will need access to).

(3) As to the commands not knowing what applications that are required for their job functions, that is a USMC issue across the board (note the sheer number of late identified applications in the USMC), and is NOT a LADRA issue.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

W2W2C Online System ver. 2004.1.06  
Cash Link II ver. 2.1

A more comprehensive list may be obtained by MIISO-03 Office aboard MCB Camp Pendleton.

63	Issue	Lack of OCM data from the Government	CTR has delayed the OCM data, which has lead to the inability to deploy scheduled seats.	400 seats will not be deployed until September 27.	Site has been working with STOIC and RCOR to get data- site expects to have sufficient data by this week to resume deployment on the 27th of this month to continue through the end of the year.	5 - Project (Site)	C	09/27/2004	Fenner, Douglas	Benton, Parker	Joint
<p>Comments: 10/7/04: Lower this to a site level, MCB submitted 775 seats and site has nearly 1000 seats worth of OCM data. (R. Draper)</p> <p>9/30/04: The site is monitoring the situation</p> <p>9/23/04: Overall data submission is not sufficient to sustain planned rollout. (J. Benton)</p> <p>9/16/04: Delay of OCM data from the CTR's has resulted in a site inability to schedule and deploy seats. Site expects sufficient OCM in and processed to resume on 27 Sept 04 for sustained deployment through the end of the year.</p>											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
18	Issue	ESI Migration to NNCI	Assumption: The long process experienced staging BETA ESI seats will not be experienced at PNDL.	If the solution for migration is not made available- when these seats are identified, the site may not be prepared to cut them over when required.	Push for as much PSQ data and location of the ESI seats- start requiring published migration solution be made available- or solution development undertaken.	5 - Project (Site)	B	10/15/2004	Benton, Parker	Benton, Parker	ISF
<p>Comments: 10/7/04: Site is monitoring the situation.</p> <p>9/30/04: Deployment Team is able to support up to 75+ ESI seats per day.(Christian Nassif)</p> <p>9/22/04: Deployment Team is only able to support 30 seats a day. The site needs the deployment team to be able to deploy 50 + seats a day. The deployment rate for the site will be 200 + seats per week.</p> <p>9/16/04: We are in a stand down until the 27th of this month, at which time we expect to begin deployment. At this time there are no issues with the ESI seats- recommend the down grade of this IRAAD to a site level monitoring. (R. Draper)</p> <p>9/9/04: Changed to an Issue. The extremely long ESI process, 8-12 hours impacts the customer and the time it takes to get users up and running. This attributed to high number of seats carried over to the next day that Base Operations have to go back to and complete the orientations. Also when ESI seats were imaged with applications, at time non standard applications were pulled from Quantico and not Pendleton Radia, adding to the total duration to deploy and ESI seat. (R. Draper)</p> <p>9/2/04: No status change</p> <p>8/26/04: The ESI migration process is too long. It took from 10-16 hours to do one machine. One of the problems was that there was no local Radia Connect. This needs to be addressed by the enterprise. (Robert Draper)</p> <p>8/19/04: No change in status</p> <p>8/13/04: Recommend close pending Bob Steffensen's determination of status. (B. Steffensen)</p> <p>8/10/04: This being raised as high as possible- ESI migration is taking 10 hours and the deployment team cannot support manpower needed to migrate and orientate the users to complete the process- leaving a large number of users with out orientation.</p> <p>8/5/04: No status change</p> <p>7/29/04: No status change</p> <p>7/22/04: This issue has not been noticed to date- MCTSSA has over 300 ESI seats, MCTSSA will be deploying 6 August 04, expect to status this IRAAD at that time.</p> <p>7/15/04: No status change-</p> <p>7/8/04: This IRAAD being re-leveled from "Division" to "Site Level"(R.Draper), will remain open until site has ability to sustain projected roll rate of ESI Seats.</p>											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

9/23/03: No change in status

-----  
9/16/03: There is still no published migration path-

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

6/13/03: BACKGROUND: PNDL does not know how many ESI seats it has (~1200) or where they are located on PNDL. Currently there is no known ESI migration path to NMCI. The risk exists that, even when these seats are identified and located, with the no known migration path to NMCI- does it require a hardware swap? Does it require a software upgrade? Does it require re-imaging? With out knowing the migration path or the complexities surrounding these migration steps- when it is time to cut these seats over- there may not be time or resources or a solution to do so.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
57	Issue	Zone Five OSP Termite Infestation	Poles being used to connect the OSP in Zone Five have deteriorated due to termite infestation and will not pass tension standards	IF seats were to roll in Zone Five there would be significant connection, redundancy and load balancing issues to be resolved.	Robert Draper is meeting with the STOIC and Site Managers to discuss solutions/alternatives and cost restraints.	5 - Project (Site)	C	10/08/2004	Castillo, Francisco	Draper, Robert	ISF
<p>Comments: 10/7/04: Site is monitoring the situation. On track for MILCON 31 OCT and NMCI OSP 7 Nov. (R.Draper)</p> <p>9/30/04: The site is monitoring the situation</p> <p>9/23/04: No Status Change</p> <p>9/16/04: No status change-still on track for end of Oct 04 for completion. (R. Draper)</p> <p>9/9/04: No status change</p> <p>9/2/04: No status change</p> <p>8/26/04:This is expected to be complete by OCT 04. (Robert Draper)</p> <p>8/19/04: No change in status</p> <p>8/12/04: No status change</p> <p>8/5/04: No change in status</p> <p>7/29/04_The MILCON project is scheduled to conclude in August. This will mitigate this issue. Please reduce the level of the IRAAD to its lowest possible posture. (Robert Draper)</p> <p>7/22/04: The site is still waiting on approval from the Government for this solution and for an alternate solution.</p> <p>7/15/04: No change in status</p> <p>7/8/04: No change in status</p> <p>7/1/04: No change in status-no path forward yet. Site may have to piggy-back.</p> <p>6/24/04: No change in status</p> <p>6/17/04: No change in status</p>											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

5/6/04: The poles in Zone Five are not structurally sufficient to support necessary cables in accordance with tension standards. There is not time to allow for environmental and trenching.

60	Issue	NOC Printer Queues	PNDL Printer queues are not being created in time for the deployment of seats.	Increased numbers of users have been unable to print. Upwards of 250 users are affected on a given week.	Creation of objects and additions to print server queues should be complete 48 hours of request.	5 - Project (Site)	B	09/01/2004	Steffensen, Bob	Benton, Parker	ISF
----	-------	--------------------	--	--	--	--------------------	---	------------	-----------------	----------------	-----

Comments: 10/7/04: Site is monitoring the situation.

9/30/04: The site is monitoring the situation

9/23/04: The site is monitoring problem. (J. Benton)

9/13/04: Meetings and other coordination with the NOC management and PNDL Site Manager this week...have reduced the back log of PNDL print queues to zero. Site team will work with NETCO and NOC to ensure that future vlans and print queues are set up well in advance of the seat cutover to ensure that all seats have access to network printers at the time of cutover. (Bob Steffensen)

9/9/04: No Change. This is having a hugely negative impact on deployment. (R. Draper)

9/7/04: No status change

8/26/04: Please read below (Opened by Richard Pilgram)

08/17/04: PNDL Transition team submitted 17 printers to the NOC to have objects created. NOC completed the objects and had objects added to the print queues 7 days later on 8/24/04.

08/18/04: PNDL Transition team submitted 7 additional printers to the NOC to have objects created. NOC completed the objects and had objects added to the print queues 6 days later on 8/24/04.

08/21/04: PNDL Transition team submitted 18 additional printers to the NOC to have objects created. NOC completed the objects and had objects added to the print queues 3 days later on 8/24/04.

- All requests within a 5 day period were added to the same ticket NFH1019608
- NOC has informed site that establishment of printers queue objects should be no later than 48 hours after the request is made.
- Due to the delays from the NOC, NMCI cutover seats are unable to print at time of deployment.
- Base Operations staff has inherited the task of mapping NMCI cutover seats to printers and testing functionality once deployment has left.

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
36	Issue	Building Safety	The current facilities housing the ISF team is over capacity. The base occupancy is currently 100 sq feet per individual. The facility is over 2x the base standard. The base needs to supply adequate space.	There is no room to support the base operations staff at KBAY		3 - Division	B	10/15/2004	Martin, George	Castel, Karen K	Joint
Comments: 10/7/04 - Site states no change in status. 9/30/04 - Site states no change in status. 9/22/04 - No change in status. 9/10/04 - Site states no change in status. 9/2/04 - Site states no change in status. 8/27/04 - IRAAD reassigned from Major Steve Roberts to George Martin. 8/19/04 - No change in status. 8/12/04 - George Martin has in review. 8/5/04 - Site Manager submitted request to Sandy Ylinen for expanding NMCI office space. 5/12/04. IRAAD opened and remains in draft status for internal tracking 4/27/04. IRAAD opened and assigned to base G-6											
26	Issue	OBLD Implementation	OBLD implementation by October 2004 is at risk because design cannot be completed until on base copper availability is provided	USMC and EDS agreement to cutover 658 seats by 6/30/04 is at risk.	Timing of the Naval message does not impact the USMC/EDS agreed upon cutover start date of 5/10/04 for Kaneohe Bay.	3 - Division	D	10/15/2004	Reiche, Charles, Capt P	Castel, Karen K	ISF
Comments: 10/7/04 - Site states no change in status. 9/30/04 - Site still waiting for cable and design.											

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/Joint
---------	------	-------	-------------	------------------	-----------------	-------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------

9/10/04 - Site states no change in status.

9/2/04 - Site states no change in status.

8/27/04 - Joint surveys completed. Design has been initiated. Waiting on Base copper availability.

8/19/04 - Joint surveys still in progress.

8/12/04 - BCO Manager requesting Bldg 213 design plans...referred to K Dymond for action. Reassigned from LT COL Brian Mace to CAPT Charles Reiche on 8/12/04.

8/5/04 - CAR (Copper Assignment Request) for OBLD buildings has been submitted and final design is pending release of copper from NCTAMS PAC.

7/28/04 - Survey for DSLAM completed 7/28/04. Design sent to Jack Gayle for submission to CO Manager by end of the week. A review of Business rules is needed to determine responsibilities for copper.

7/26/04: Issue will be addressed at next weekly meeting 7/28/04.

7/19/04: Meeting on 7/15/04. OBLD seats have been moved out to Q4. Current baseline shows seats scheduled for Q3. Working with PMo to move baseline date out to Q4.

7/15/04: Meeting conducted today 0900 to move forward. All OBLD seats at K-baz in part of the tactical plan for 3rd quarter and are in danger of not being cutover in the 3rd quarter.

7/13/04: Recommend LtCol Mace coordinate with NCTAMSPAC and Karen Castel to resolve the issue. Refer to Buddy Rodgers for input. (A. Cruz)

7/12/04. Looking at cutting the seats over without EDS owning the infrastructure until we can get the OBLD solution in place. Possibly can cutover 1/2 the seats if agreement can be reached with gov't about ownership and SLA.

7/7/04: Lisa,

My comments. While G-6 has attempted to coordinate with NCTAMS (to expedite the process)as well. It is ultimately EDS responsibility to coordinate the design transfers.

"MCBH cutover will not be continuous. STOIC requires design and implementation plan 90days (16 June) in advance to coordinate potential facility issues. MCBH will not meet 3Qtr deployment numbers due to delays in the completion of OBLD design. No coordination has occurred between EDS and NCTAMS for the use of copper drawings to finalize EDS designs. "

Thanks,  
Capt Reiche

6/24/04: Need to start putting OBLD solution in place to begin design and buildout phase to a continuous roll rate.

<b>IRAAD #</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Impact Statement</b>	<b>Mitigation Plan</b>	<b>Level</b>	<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Assigned To</b>	<b>Originator</b>	<b>ISF/ Joint</b>
----------------	-------------	--------------	--------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------

---

3/29/04. A solution needs to be provided for OBLD seats. USMC is saying that no seats will roll at KBAZ until a solution is put in place. Site is developing POA&M that will be reviewed with Mike Warlick and his team. The long term goal would be for the OBLD solution to be de-coupled from the rest of the cutover. IRAAD has been assigned to Bill Clemente CM OCONUS for resolution and follow-up.

---

IRAAD #	Type	Title	Description	Impact Statement	Mitigation Plan	Level	Overall Rating	Date Due	Assigned To	Originator	ISF/ Joint
48	Action Item	Mission Critical Seats	CLIN Description for Mission Critical Seat and the Business Rule for Mission Critical Seats contained in Version 1.9 of the USMC Consolidated Business Rules conflict. The CLIN 0008AA and 8AB description specifically states that the addition of the Mission Critical CLIN does not change the hardware configuration of the base seat yet the business rule calls for 2 Ethernet Cards	The customer expects one thing and we are delivering something different.		4 - Program	A	10/15/2004	LaRochelle, Jeff	Cooter, Robert A	Joint
Comments: 10/7/04 - In process per Jeff LaRochelle. 9/30/04 - Site states no change in status. 9/24/04 - Need agreement to revise the Business Rules or modify the hardware when this CLIN is ordered for a USMC customer.											
49	Action Item	KBAY / KBAZ Invoice	FY05 Task Order billed on separate invoices KBAY and KBAZ. Separate invoices have the potential to lead to late payment on invoices.	Separate invoices have the potential to lead to late payment on invoices.		5 - Project (Site)	C	11/01/2004	Cooter, Robert A	Enoki, Phyllis	
Comments: 10/8/04 - Correct eMarketplace creating only one invoice not two. Since, Navy is KBAY need to change all USMC invoices to read KBAZ. (P. Enoki)											